

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,708		01/22/2004	Takayuki Nishimura	6453P033	7652
8791	7590	09/29/2005		EXAM	IINER
		LOFF TAYLOF	CASCHERA, ANTONIO A		
SEVENTH				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGI	LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2676	
				DATE MAIL ED. 00/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurred	10/763,708	NISHIMURA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Antonio A. Caschera	2676			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-11,14,15,17-24 and 26-34 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3,12,13,16 and 25 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rejected.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 March 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/23/04 & 0¶/19/04</u> .	6) Other:	atent Application (F 10-132)			

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in the pending application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 5, 7, 9-11, 18, 20, 22-24, 26, 28 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In reference to claims 5 and 18, claims 5 and 18 recite the limitation "the first formula" in lines 3-4 of page 86 (claim 5, for example) of the claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In reference to claims 7 and 20, claims 7 and 20 contain the variables, "(a)" and "(d)", which are nowhere defined in the claims and therefore do not signify any specific value or are indefinite.

In reference to claims 9 and 22, claims 9 and 22 contain the variables, "(0)", "D", "M" and "MAX", which are nowhere defined in the claims and therefore do not signify any specific value or are indefinite.

Art Unit: 2676

In reference to claims 31 and 32, claims 31 and 32 recite the limitation of rounding up or down when a certain situation is tested against the values 5 and 6 however it is unclear what this situation is. Claims 31 and 32 are indefinite in that they fail to particularly point out what value/expression/variable etc is compared to the values of 5 and 6 in order to decide on which rounding (up or down) to perform.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 14, 27, 29, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yi (U.S. Patent 6,778,187 B1).

In reference to claims 1, 14, 33 and 38, Yi discloses devices and methods for compressing color pixel data, storing it in memory, retrieving the data and uncompressing the compressed color pixel data from memory (see column 2, lines 11-18 and 25-32). Yi discloses performing the uncompressing upon already reduced bit length data, in particular, 8-bit red color component reduced to 5-bits, 8-bit green color component reduced to 5-bits and 8-bit blue color component reduced to 4-bits (see column 2, lines 63-67). Yi also discloses performing such compressing and uncompressing of color data using left and right shifting operations upon the bit

Application/Control Number: 10/763,708 Page 4

Art Unit: 2676

data (see column 2, lines 11-13 and 27-28), which the Office interprets functionally equivalent to the "integer operation" of the claims, as the "integer" element being the number of bit places to shift the data by. Further note, the Office interprets the first unit system having the lower resolution level equivalent to the compressed form of the RGB data in Yi and the second unit system having the higher resolution level equivalent to the uncompressed form of RGB data in Yi. Also, the "first and second unit systems" of Applicant's claims are seen functionally equivalent to the RGB14 (R=5 bits, G=5 bits, B-4 bits) and RGB24 (R=8 bits, G=8 bits, B=8 bits) respectively. Further in reference to claims 33 and 34, since Yi also discloses the device performing the above color data transformations as a microprocessor (see column 4, lines 34-38) and the device comprising RAM and DRAM elements (see column 4, lines 42-47), the Office interprets Yi to inherently disclose an executable program/code and medium for storing the program/code.

In reference to claim 27, Yi discloses all of the claim limitations as applied to claim 1 above in addition, since Yi discloses bit shifting (see above) to compress and decompress color data, the Office interprets that Yi inherently discloses conducting reversible conversion of data using powers of 2.

In reference to claim 29, Yi discloses all of the claim limitations as applied to claim 27 above. Yi discloses performing compression and uncompression operations upon color data using left and right shifting operations upon the bit data (see column 2, lines 11-13 and 27-28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/763,708

Art Unit: 2676

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 5

4. Claims 2, 4, 6, 8, 15, 17, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yi (U.S. Patent 6,778,187 B1) in view of Meyers et al. (U.S. Patent 6,486;889 B1).

In reference to claims 2, 4, 6, 8, 15, 17, 19 and 21, Yi discloses all of the claim limitations as applied to claims 1 and 14 respectively above. Although Yi discloses first and second unit systems being of different format (RGB14 and RGB24, see above), Yi does not explicitly disclose using two separate color spaces to define the first and second unit systems. Meyers et al discloses methods and apparatus for color space transforming RGB video into Lab, Ycbcr and/or Srgb color spaces (see column 1, lines 8-9 and 33-42). Note, the RGB video of Meyers et al. is seen as an analog signal since Meyers et al. discloses possible sources of the RGB video to be CRT monitors and televisions (see column 1, lines 11-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the color space transformation techniques together with the compression/decompression techniques of Yi in order to create a more flexible invention by allowing it to handle image information that had not been initially formatted to be compatible with other devices (see column 1, lines 44-48 of Meyers et al.). Further, the "third data" of Applicant's claims would be the combined data formed by the union of techniques described by Yi and Meyers et al., specifically, the color

Application/Control Number: 10/763,708 Page 6

Art Unit: 2676

transformed RGB14 data which would be color transformed into Lab, Ycbcr and/or Srgb color spaces.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3, 12, 13, 16 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In reference to claims 3 and 16, the prior art of record (Yi (U.S. Patent 6,778,187 B1) and Meyers et al. (U.S. Patent 6,486,889 B1)) does not explicitly disclose the RGB to Ycbcr and Ycbcr to RGB conversion techniques as claimed in the specific formulas of claims 3 and 16.

In reference to claims 12 and 25, the prior art of record (Yi (U.S. Patent 6,778,187 B1) and Meyers et al. (U.S. Patent 6,486,889 B1)) does not explicitly disclose implementing the first unit system as a "BNU" unit system converting to a 1/100mm second unit system, in combination with the further limitations of claims 12 and 25 respectively.

In reference to claim 13, the prior art of record (Yi (U.S. Patent 6,778,187 B1) and Meyers et al. (U.S. Patent 6,486,889 B1)) does not explicitly disclose the conversion of first BNU unit system to 1/100 mm unit system using the specific formulas as claimed.

References Cited

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Application/Control Number: 10/763,708 Page 7

Art Unit: 2676

a. Poynton, Charles, "Frequently Asked Questions about Color." March 1997. http://www.poynton.com/Poynton-color.html. (Date accessed 09/23/2005).

- Poynton discloses color conversion techniques including specific formulas and conversion coefficient values for transforming RGB to Ycbcr and viceversa.
- b. Keith (U.S. Patent 5,519,439)
 - Keith discloses subsampling color video to a certain format to be previewed while transmitting a compressed signal to a remote location.
- c. Lucas et al. (U.S. 5,664,080)
 - Lucas et al. discloses a system and method for generating a universal palette
 and for mapping the color space for an original image into the universal
 palette for compression.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Antonio Caschera whose telephone number is (571) 272-7781. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays between 7:30 AM and 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella, can be reached at (571) 272-7778.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Application/Control Number: 10/763,708

Art Unit: 2676

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

571-273-8300 (Central Fax)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

aac

9/24/05

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Marker C. Bella

Page 8